

Timestamp	City, State, Zip	Comment text	These comments pertain to:	Comments related to the proposed CDP generally. [CDP Topics]	Comments relating to specific proposed oil and gas locations as part of the proposed CDP. [Location Topics]
3/24/2019 16:25:48	GREELEY	Get R Done before Polis has a chance to get his bill passed. The Idiot!! Great plan you have proposed. Perfect area as not close to highly populated areas. I wish all the bunny huggers would realize what the Oil and Gas industry does for Weld. You are contributing GREATLY to the betterment of Weld county and have taken all of the pertinent topics into consideration. Great plan!	The CDP General	Support for CDP	
3/28/2019 13:28:06	La Salle, Co. 80645	I am strongly in favor of the SRC Mountain view CDP. It is such an improvement on the old days of one well in the center of each "40". To cluster them together on the end of one farm per 2 sections more or less is a dramatic improvement in land use policies for agricultural Weld County. We happen to be a host farm for one pad of wells. SRC has been straight forward in their dealings with us. I am impressed with their professionalism. It is also no secret that horizontals are much better producers than the old straights. Looking forward to bigger royalty checks! I know that there will be an increase of traffic and such for the few months that drilling and completion take place but I know it is worth it for the easy unobtrusive production for years to come in the future. I couldn't be more supportive of this CDP!		Support for CDP	
3/29/2019 10:36:10	Lasalle, CO. 80645	I see where all of the mineral rights owners are overjoyed with this proposal, money in their pocket. Who cares about the land owners rights that get nothing out of this whole thing except more truck traffic, noise, pollution and a decline in your home and land values if you are close to one of these huge pads. Whose going to buy your investment with one of these mega pads sitting near your property. Who compensates surface owners for the decline in property values? Take a look around Weld County now and all you see is oil and gas development, who needs farming if you have mineral rights? Lets grow oil and gas facilities. Colorful Colorado, what a joke.	The CDP General	Property Values and Land Use	Site Specific Sensitivities
4/8/2019 18:15:00	La Salle	We are requesting, as a requirement, for continuous emission monitoring equipment and public alert notification for upset conditions at the facilities to monitor and provide warning for hazardous air pollutants, as well as for methane and volatile organic compounds; and minimize emissions of methane and other hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides.		Infrastructure	Other Nuisance Issues

Timestamp	City, State, Zip	Comment text	These comments pertain to:	Comments related to the proposed CDP generally. [CDP Topics]	Comments relating to specific proposed oil and gas locations as part of the proposed CDP. [Location Topics]
4/8/2019 18:17:22	La Salle	We are requesting, as a requirement, that prior to commencing operations the operator must obtain federal and state required permits that limit the amount of pollution they can discharge into the air.		CDP Process	Other Nuisance Issues
4/8/2019 18:18:58	La Salle	<p>We are requesting, as a requirement, that COGCC provide incentives for SRC to tie in existing tank batteries to SRC's planned pipeline network for products and waste. This would be consistent with the direction that John Hickenlooper's Blue Ribbon Task Force identified in Recommendation #37 as follows:</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TO REDUCE TRUCK TRAFFIC ON PUBLIC STREETS, ROADS, AND HIGHWAYS FOR OIL AND GAS ACTIVITIES (Recommendation #37) TOTALS: Yes: 21 No: 0 Barwinski Y Holly Y Quinn Y Buescher Y Kelly Y Rau Y Cleveland Y Kourlis Y Robbins Y Dea Y Lachelt Y Sura Y Fitzgerald Y Moreno Y Toor Y George Y Pearce Y Wedgeworth Y Goldin-Dubois Y Peppler Y Woodall Y Agency or General Assembly: No rule or statute change is foreseen at this time Description: There is uniform agreement that one of the most serious impacts of oil and gas activity involves the use of large trucks and trailers. While this is often times unavoidable and is common practice in virtually all business and industry, it should be a high priority to do everything to reduce truck traffic significantly. The issue is serious enough as to merit special attention, study and action. This proposal is for COGCC and CDOT together to take the lead to convene a working group to investigate as fully as possible any and all steps that can and should be taken by government and industry to reduce the use of large trucks and trailers in oil and gas activities. The group should have full representation of all stakeholders, public and private. It is expected that legal issues concerning easements and rights of way for alternatives, such as pipelines, would be studied. A full range of incentives should also be explored.</p> <p>https://firebasestorage.googleapis.com/v0/b/torid-heat-3070.appspot.com/o/Programs%2FOGTF%2FOilGasTaskForceFinalReport.pdf?alt=media&token=6f8a500d-bd36-4c46-a8c7-fca21971771c</p>		Traffic	Other Nuisance Issues

Timestamp	City, State, Zip	Comment text	These comments pertain to:	Comments related to the proposed CDP generally. [CDP Topics]	Comments relating to specific proposed oil and gas locations as part of the proposed CDP. [Location Topics]
4/8/2019 18:21:58	La Salle	<p>We are requesting, as a requirement, SRC will connect existing tank batteries to SRC's planned pipeline network for products and waste. This would be consistent with the direction that John Hickenlooper's Blue Ribbon Task Force identified in Recommendation #37 as follows:</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TO REDUCE TRUCK TRAFFIC ON PUBLIC STREETS, ROADS, AND HIGHWAYS FOR OIL AND GAS ACTIVITIES (Recommendation #37) TOTALS: Yes: 21 No: 0 Barwinski Y Holly Y Quinn Y Buescher Y Kelly Y Rau Y Cleveland Y Kourlis Y Robbins Y Dea Y Lachelt Y Sura Y Fitzgerald Y Moreno Y Toor Y George Y Pearce Y Wedgeworth Y Goldin-Dubois Y Peppler Y Woodall Y Agency or General Assembly: No rule or statute change is foreseen at this time Description: There is uniform agreement that one of the most serious impacts of oil and gas activity involves the use of large trucks and trailers. While this is often times unavoidable and is common practice in virtually all business and industry, it should be a high priority to do everything to reduce truck traffic significantly. The issue is serious enough as to merit special attention, study and action. This proposal is for COGCC and CDOT together to take the lead to convene a working group to investigate as fully as possible any and all steps that can and should be taken by government and industry to reduce the use of large trucks and trailers in oil and gas activities. The group should have full representation of all stakeholders, public and private. It is expected that legal issues concerning easements and rights of way for alternatives, such as pipelines, would be studied. A full range of incentives should also be explored.</p> <p>https://firebasestorage.googleapis.com/v0/b/torid-heat-3070.appspot.com/o/Programs%2FOGTF%2FOilGasTaskForceFinalReport.pdf?alt=media&token=6f8a500d-bd36-4c46-a8c7-fca21971771c</p>		Traffic	Other Nuisance Issues
4/8/2019 18:26:20	La Salle	<p>We are requesting, as a requirement, if the operator is not able to meet the 800 Series regulation limiting low frequency noise at 65 db, they must cease activity immediately; and ambient noise to not be considered a valid excuse when the house is vibrating.</p>		Health & Safety Concerns	Noise

Timestamp	City, State, Zip	Comment text	These comments pertain to:	Comments related to the proposed CDP generally. [CDP Topics]	Comments relating to specific proposed oil and gas locations as part of the proposed CDP. [Location Topics]
4/8/2019 22:04:23	Loveland, CO 80537	This CDP is a health and safety issue for the entire Northern Colorado community. The air quality here is already poor. These ongoing drilling operations will negatively affect the air quality here for all surrounding areas. I also disagree with permitting this project due to proximity to/encroachment upon homes, schools and flood plains.	The CDP General	Health & Safety Concerns	Health & Safety
4/9/2019 17:58:46	Greeley, Colorado, 80631	Taking the time to look over CDP, I see a lot of time, care and planning in this project to comply with the current rules of the COGCC including the extended setbacks in exhibits H-1 and H-2. Consolidating everything having a smaller overall footprint yet utilizing all available lands to benefit everyone involved. Everyone who works and travels to do there daily business has skin in this project through revenue from severance taxes generated, and employment and the business they frequent.	The CDP General	Support for CDP	
4/10/2019 17:12:46	La Salle	We are requesting, as a requirement, the ECD device (big flaring can) NOT be used between 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The walls used by Environmental Noise Control, 9536 E I25 Frontage Rd, Longmont, CO 80504 do not mitigate effectively and houses will rattle.		Infrastructure	Other Nuisance Issues
4/10/2019 17:21:13	La Salle	We are requesting, as a requirement, the operator provide seven (7) days advanced written notification to property owners with boundaries located within 2,750 feet of site that surface or subsurface activity will take place.		Property Values and Land Use	Other Nuisance Issues
4/10/2019 18:20:29	La Salle	We would like to request the COGCC require the Operator hold multiple public forums in various locations throughout the proposed CDP area at various times of the day including weekdays, evenings, and weekends in order to address questions, accept comments, and provide additional information to the public.	The CDP General	CDP Process	Site Specific Sensitivities