



Total Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Diesel)

Case Narrative

COGCC

Burkhart -- 25087038

Work Order Number: 1202226

1. This report consists of 1 water sample. The sample was received cool and intact by ALS on 02/20/2012.
2. The water sample was extracted by adding hexane to the water sample and shaking the resulting two phase solution according to SOP 603 Revision 12, which was developed at ALS. The hydrocarbons partition into the hexane layer, which is then removed for analysis.
3. The extract was then analyzed using GC with a capillary column and a flame ionization detector (FID) according to SOP 406 Revision 16 generally based on SW-846 Method 8000B and Method 8015B. The procedures are based on this general method because SW-846 does not have a specific method for total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (TEPH) or diesel range organics. The only true modification from this method is that TEPH is a multicomponent mixture and is quantitated by summing the entire range, rather than individual peaks. All positive results were quantitated using the responses from the initial calibration curve using the external standard technique. Also, a confirmation column is not used, because the analyte is a multicomponent mixture and the specific carbon range of the peaks detected is specified on the individual sample reporting forms.
4. All initial and continuing calibration criteria were met.
5. The method blank associated with this project was below the MDL for diesel range organics.
6. All laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate recoveries and RPDs were within the acceptance criteria.
7. Per method requirements, matrix QC was performed for this analysis. Since a sample from this order number was not the selected quality control (QC) sample, matrix specific QC results are not included in this report.



8. The sample was extracted and analyzed within the established holding time.
9. All surrogate recoveries were within the acceptance criteria.
10. Manual integrations are performed when needed to provide consistent and defensible data following the guidelines in SOP 939 Revision 4.

The data contained in the following report have been reviewed and approved by the personnel listed below. In addition, ALS certifies that the analyses reported herein are true, complete and correct within the limits of the methods employed.

Mindy Norton
Mindy Norton
Organics Primary Data Reviewer

2-29-12
Date

Sandra S. Agha
Organics Final Data Reviewer

2-29-12
Date



ALS
Data Qualifier Flags
Fuels

- G:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling gasoline was detected in this sample.
- D:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling diesel was detected in this sample.
- M:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling motor oil was detected in this sample.
- C:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling crude oil was detected in this sample.
- 4:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling JP-4 was detected in this sample.
- 5:** This flag indicates that a pattern resembling JP-5 was detected in this sample.
- H:** This flag indicates that the fuel pattern was in the heavier end of the retention time window for the analyte of interest.
- L:** This flag indicates that the fuel pattern was in the lighter end of the retention time window for the analyte of interest.
- Z:** This flag indicates that a significant fraction of the reported result did not resemble the patterns of any of the following petroleum hydrocarbon products:
gasoline
JP-8
diesel
mineral spirits
motor oil
Stoddard solvent
bunker C

Multiple flags may be used to indicate the presence of more than one product or component.



ALS
Data Qualifier Flags
Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry

- U or ND:** This flag indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected.
- J:** This flag indicates an estimated value. This flag is used as follows : (1) when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds (TICs) where a 1:1 response is assumed; (2) when the mass spectral and retention time data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the volatile and semivolatile GC/MS identification criteria, and the result is less than the reporting limit (RL) but greater than the method detection limit (MDL); (3) when the data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria, and the result is less than the RL but greater than the MDL; and (4) the reported value is estimated.
- B:** This flag is used when the analyte is detected in the associated method blank as well as in the sample. It indicates probable blank contamination and warns the data user. This flag shall be used for a tentatively identified compound (TIC) as well as for a positively identified target compound.
- E:** This flag identifies compounds whose concentration exceeds the upper level of the calibration range.
- A:** This flag indicates that a tentatively identified compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- X:** This flag indicates that the analyte was diluted below an accurate quantitation level.
- *:** This flag indicates that a spike recovery is outside the control criteria.
- +:** This flag indicates that the relative percent difference (RPD) exceeds the control criteria.

ALS Environmental -- FC

Sample Number(s) Cross-Reference Table

OrderNum: 1202226

Client Name: COGCC

Client Project Name: Burkhart

Client Project Number: 25087038

Client PO Number:

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	COC Number	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected
Burkhart 1/#200340214	1202226-1		WATER	20-Feb-12	12:00



ALS Laboratory Group

225 Commerce Drive, Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
TF: (800) 443-1511 PH: (970) 490-1511 FX: (970) 490-1522

Chain-of-Custody

Form 202r8

WORKORDER #	1202226
PAGE	1 of 1
DISPOSAL	Ex Lab
DATE	2/20/12
TURNAROUND	5TD
RETURN TO CLIENT	

PROJECT NAME	Bidwell, Burkhardt, Olson
PROJECT NO.	25087038
COMPANY NAME	Terracon Consultants
SEND REPORT TO	John Axelsson / Amy Wolf
ADDRESS	10625 W 170th Ave, Littleton, CO 80120
CITY / STATE / ZIP	Littleton, CO 80120
PHONE	303-983-3300
FAX	
E-MAIL	Jc.dellaport@terracon.com

SAMPLER	ASHLEY K. GYNE
SITE ID	
EDD FORMAT	
PURCHASE ORDER	COGCC
BILL TO COMPANY	COGCC
INVOICE ATTN TO	John Axelsson
ADDRESS	
CITY / STATE / ZIP	
PHONE	
FAX	
E-MAIL	

Lab ID	Field ID	Matrix	Sample Date	Sample Time	# Bottles	Pres.	QC
①	Bidwell I / #200340417	GW	2/20/12	935	10	✓	✓
	Burkhardt I / #20034024	✓	✓	1200	10	✓	✓
	Olson I / #20034017	✓	✓	1500	10	✓	✓

*Time Zone (Circle): EST CST MST PST Matrix: O = oil S = soil NS = non-soil solid W = water L = liquid E = extract F = filter

For metals or anions, please detail analytes below.

Comments:

Please include sulfate, nitrate/nitrite w/ Total N

6 of 10

RELINQUISHED BY	SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME	DATE	TIME
RECEIVED BY		Ashley Gagne	2/20/12	1700
RELINQUISHED BY		C. Axelsson	2-20-12	1700
RECEIVED BY				
RELINQUISHED BY				
RECEIVED BY				

Preservative Key: 1-HCl 2-HNO3 3-H2SO4 4-NaOH 5-NaHSO4 7-Other 8-4 degrees C 9-5035



CONDITION OF SAMPLE UPON RECEIPT FORM

Client: COGCCWorkorder No: 1202226Project Manager: AWInitials: CDT Date: 2-20-12

1. Does this project require any special handling in addition to standard Paragon procedures?		YES	<u>NO</u>
2. Are custody seals on shipping containers intact?	<u>NONE</u>	YES	NO
3. Are Custody seals on sample containers intact?	<u>NONE</u>	YES	NO
4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present or other representative documents?		<u>YES</u>	NO
5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete and legible ?		<u>YES</u>	NO
6. Is the COC in agreement with samples received? (IDs, dates, times, no. of samples, no. of containers, matrix, requested analyses, etc.)		<u>YES</u>	NO
7. Were airbills / shipping documents present and/or removable?	<u>DROP OFF</u>	YES	NO
8. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly? (excluding volatiles)	N/A	<u>YES</u>	NO
9. Are all aqueous non-preserved samples pH 4-9?	N/A	<u>YES</u>	NO
10. Is there sufficient sample for the requested analyses?		YES	<u>NO</u>
11. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses?		<u>YES</u>	NO
12. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?		<u>YES</u>	NO
13. Were all sample containers received intact? (not broken or leaking, etc.)		<u>YES</u>	NO
14. Are all samples requiring no headspace (VOC, GRO, RSK/MEE, Rx CN/S, radon) headspace free? Size of bubble: _____ < green pea _____ > green pea	N/A	<u>YES</u>	NO
15. Do perchlorate LCMS-MS samples have headspace? (at least 1/3 of container required)	<u>N/A</u>	YES	NO
16. Were samples checked for and free from the presence of residual chlorine? (Applicable when PM has indicated samples are from a chlorinated water source; note if field preservation with sodium thiosulfate was not observed.)	<u>N/A</u>	YES	NO
17. Were the samples shipped on ice?		<u>YES</u>	NO
18. Were cooler temperatures measured at 0.1-6.0°C? IR gun used*: #2 <u>#4</u>		<u>YES</u>	NO
Cooler #: <u>1</u>			
Temperature (°C): <u>2.4</u>			
No. of custody seals on cooler: <u>0</u>			
External µR/hr reading: <u>NA</u>			
Background µR/hr reading: <u>NA</u>			
Were external µR/hr readings ≤ two times background and within DOT acceptance criteria? YES / NO / NA (If no, see Form 008.)			

Additional Information: PROVIDE DETAILS BELOW FOR A NO RESPONSE TO ANY QUESTION ABOVE, EXCEPT #1 AND #16.

RECEIVED 6 total UOA VIALS, SO ASSIGNED 2 FOR EACH ANALYSIS.

2/22/12: cancelled NO2/NO3 by 353.2 due to samples bottles being left out of cooler overnight. Replaced analysis with 300.0 NO2 and 300.0 NO3.

If applicable, was the client contacted? YES / NO / NA Contact: John Axelson 2/22/12 Date/Time: 2/22/12

Project Manager Signature / Date: C. Wolf 2/21/12

Diesel Range Organics

Method SW8015MB

Method Blank

Lab Name: ALS Environmental -- FC

Work Order Number: 1202226

Client Name: COGCC

ClientProject ID: Burkhart 25087038

Lab ID: EX120222-2MB

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 22-Feb-12

Date Analyzed: 22-Feb-12

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: EX120222-2

QCBatchID: EX120222-2-1

Run ID: HCD120222-3A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: F3F42042

Sample Aliquot: 160 ml

Final Volume: 4 ml

Result Units: MG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	DF	Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	EPA Qualifier
68334-30-5	Diesel Range Organics	1	0.5	0.5	U	

Surrogate Recovery

CASNO	Surrogate Analyte	Result	Flag	Spike Amount	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
84-15-1	O-TERPHENYL	1.13		1.25	91	57 - 132

Data Package ID: HCD1202226-1

Date Printed: Wednesday, February 29, 2012

ALS Environmental -- FC

LIMS Version: 6.568

Page 1 of 1

Diesel Range Organics

Method SW8015M Revision B

Sample Results

Lab Name: ALS Environmental -- FC

Work Order Number: 1202226

Client Name: COGCC

ClientProject ID: Burkhart 25087038

Field ID:	Burkhart 1/#200340214
Lab ID:	1202226-1

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: 20-Feb-12

Date Extracted: 22-Feb-12

Date Analyzed: 23-Feb-12

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: EX120222-2

QCBatchID: EX120222-2-1

Run ID: HCD120222-3A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: As Received

File Name: F3F42046

Analyst: Joel F. Nolte

Sample Aliquot: 160ML

Final Volume: 4ML

Result Units: MG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Dilution Factor	Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	EPA Qualifier
68334-30-5	Diesel Range Organics	1	0.5	0.5	U	

Surrogate Recovery

CASNO	Surrogate Analyte	Result	Flag	Spike Amount	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
84-15-1	O-TERPHENYL	1.04		1.25	84	57 - 132

Data Package ID: HCD1202226-1

Diesel Range Organics

Method SW8015MB

Laboratory Control Sample and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Lab Name: ALS Environmental -- FC

Work Order Number: 1202226

Client Name: COGCC

ClientProject ID: Burkhart 25087038

Lab ID: EX120222-2LCS

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 02/22/2012

Date Analyzed: 02/22/2012

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: EX120222-2

QCBatchID: EX120222-2-1

Run ID: HCD120222-3A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: F3F42043

Sample Aliquot: 160 ml

Final Volume: 4 ml

Result Units: MG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCS % Rec.	Control Limits
68334-30-5	Diesel Range Organics	5	4.34	0.5		87	36 - 150%

Lab ID: EX120222-2LCSD

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 02/22/2012

Date Analyzed: 02/23/2012

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: EX120222-2

QCBatchID: EX120222-2-1

Run ID: HCD120222-3A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: F3F42044

Sample Aliquot: 160 ml

Final Volume: 4 ml

Result Units: MG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCSD % Rec.	RPD Limit	RPD
68334-30-5	Diesel Range Organics	5	4.55	0.5		91	20	5

Surrogate Recovery LCS/LCSD

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCS % Rec.	LCS Flag	LCSD % Rec.	LCSD Flag	Control Limits
84-15-1	O-TERPHENYL	1.25	90		93		57 - 132

Data Package ID: HCD1202226-1

Date Printed: Wednesday, February 29, 2012

ALS Environmental -- FC

Page 1 of 1

LIMS Version: 6.568